Cannon promises Senate attention to license renewal

In a week filled with the WESH case, word came from a key member of Congress that the Senate plans to act to clear up the comparative renewal process for broadcast licensees. Senator Howard Cannon (D-Ohio), chairman of the Senate Commerce Committee, told the National Association of Broadcasters San Francisco meeting that even if the Washington appeals court’s WESH decision is reversed in court, it serves as a stimulus for Congress to act “on a broadly as well as narrowly focused scale in fashioning national communications policy.”

Senator Cannon said that the 96th Congress, which begins next Jan. 15, will “take a fresh look” at the comparative renewal problem “with a view toward giving good broadcast performance appropriate weight among other considerations.”

He says FCC should open inquiry to determine 'objective' criteria of licensee performance

Saying that license renewal policy should be established by the FCC and not the courts, FCC Commissioner Joseph Fogarty called last week for an FCC inquiry to establish license renewal standards by which to measure broadcasters’ past performance in comparative renewal cases.

In an address to the National Association of Broadcasters fall conference in San Francisco Friday, Commissioner Fogarty said the current “crisis” in the industry brought on by the Washington appeals court’s decision overturning the renewal of WESH-TV Daytona Beach, Fla., is the commission’s fault. The court’s decision in the WESH case was right, he said: “The FCC simply failed to articulate a reasoned rationale for its decision in favor of the incumbent and so effectively denied the competing applicant the full comparative hearing required by law.”

Mr. Fogarty doesn’t suggest that the comparative renewal process should be changed to cut down on the number of license challenges, as the industry might want. Rather, what is needed, he said, is a clearer set of standards to measure a broadcaster’s past performance. Past court decisions have held that broadcasters should be entitled to the “expectancy” of renewal or a “plus of major significance” for a good performance record, he said.

Mr. Fogarty said he agrees that the commission should have “the discretion to prefer a bird in the hand—the good broadcaster—to two in the bush—those who would promise anything.” And he urged that an inquiry be opened into critics’ suggestions of “objective” standards.

Among criteria that might be used, he said, are those posed by the panel in the WESH case: (1) elimination of loud and excessive advertising; (2) delivery of quality programs; (3) how much of its profits the incumbent licensee has reinvested in service to the public; (4) diversification of ownership of mass media; (5) independence from government influence.

He also added these to the list: (1) the amount of time devoted to news, public affairs, children’s programs and local programming that is “responsive to ascertainment community problems, needs and interests;” (2) the amount of time devoted to programs directed to racial and cultural minorities; (3) the amount of time devoted to programs dealing with matters of public importance,” editorial programming and public service announcements.

The commission is understood to be

TOP OF THE WEEK

million expected by the end of the year. Numbers such as those have already attracted the attention of some national advertisers—Miller beer, Toyota, Block Drug and Panasonic.

Mr. Turner said that the superstation will be able to substantially undercut the networks’ time costs. He claimed that WTCG’s per-thousand is two-thirds of the networks’ and that the station delivers an audience with twice the per-capita income. With the proliferation of satellite signals, he expects total network audience shares to drop to 50% in the next five to 10 years.

(According to a New York advertising source, network prime-time C-P-M’s are running at about $4 this year. Tom Ashley, head of Turner’s New York office, said that for 1979, WTCG’s rates will be in the $2.65-$4 range.)

In Chicago, WGN-TV officials were, in the words of Daniel T. Pecaro, president, “very honored we were selected by the carriers for distribution. They were not, however, ready to go far beyond that in discussing how the new distribution is going to affect the station.

Emphasizing that the station had yet to see an official announcement of the commission’s action, a company spokesman said WGN-TV will “continue to serve our Chicagoland communities” and would make no statements about its satellite plans until it can “sit down and look” at the commission’s order.

Speculation late that the spread of superstations could lead to a new fourth network was not really endorsed by either WTCG or WGN-TV officials.

Fogarty sees WESH as chance to set tough renewal standards
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